PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 1 8 MAY 2006 WIPO PCT

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applias	Applicant's or agent's file reference Applicant's or agent's file reference See Notification of Transmittal of International					Coo Notification	<u> </u>	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 110351AF FOR FURTHER				FOR FURTHER AC	TION		amination Report (Form PCT/I	
			International filing date (d	dav/mont	h/vear)	Priority date (day/month/year	7)	
PCT/NO2004/000383 10.12.2004						10.12.2003		
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC							
INV. G								
A1:00								
Applica SELJE		TH, ł	Kurt					
1 т	Γhic i	ntarn	ational preliminary exar	mination report has beer	n prepai	red by this Inter	rnational Preliminary Exam	ining
1. T	Autho	rity a	nd is transmitted to the	applicant according to	Article 3	6.	,, ,, ,, ,	9
2. 7	Γhis I	REPO	ORT consists of a total of	of 6 sheets, including th	is cover	sheet.		
D	☒	This	report is also accompa	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. s	sheets o	of the description	on, claims and/or drawings ectifications made before th	which have his Authority
		(see	Rule 70.16 and Section	n 607 of the Administrati	ve Instr	uctions under t	he PCT).	no riumionity
1	Thes	e ann	exes consist of a total of	of 4 sheets.				-) -
	,,,,,							
3.	This	repor	t contains indications re	elating to the following ite	ems:			
ı	i	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
1	H		Priority					
i	111		Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to n	ovelty, i	nventive step a	and industrial applicability	
l	lV		Lack of unity of invent					
,	V	\boxtimes	Reasoned statement	under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi tions supporting such sta	th regar	d to novelty, in	ventive step or industrial a	oplicability;
,	VI		Certain documents cit					
1				international application				
1	VIII			on the international appl				
Date o	of sub	missic	on of the demand		Date o	f completion of th	nis report	
10.10	0.200)5			17.05	.2006		
Name and mailing address of the international Authorized Officer								
preliminary examining authority:			,			Sortisches Patentame.		
-	116	D-8	ropean Patent Office 30298 Munich		May,	М		oppen Pe
	<i>9)))</i>	Tel	i. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236 x: +49 89 2399 - 4465	656 epmu d		one No. +49 89	2200-6015	To the state of th
		ra	A. +49 03 2033 - 4400		Leiebu	OHE NO. +49 89 7	∠ ∆ ₹₹₩₩	* Olijce onto

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/NO2004/000383

 Basis of the rep 	I.	Basis	of the	report	t
--------------------------------------	----	-------	--------	--------	---

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages				
	1-22	2	as originally filed			
	Cla	ims, Numbers				
	1-18	5	filed with telefax on 10.10.2005			
	Dra	wings, Sheets				
	1-3		as originally filed			
2.	With	h regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the guage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	The	se elements were ava	ilable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			
		the language of a trar	nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
		the language of public	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a trar Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under ly).			
3.	With inte	n regard to any nucleo rnational preliminary e	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:			
		contained in the inter	national application in written form.			
		filed together with the	international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequent	tly to this Authority in written form.			
		furnished subsequent	tly to this Authority in computer readable form.			
		The statement that the in the international ap	e subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure oplication as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement that th listing has been furnis	e information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.			
1.	The	amendments have re	sulted in the cancellation of:			
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/NO2004/000383

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-7,9-11,12-15

No: Claims

1,8,12

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-15 1-15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US6101537

D2: US20030126461

1. Lack of Novelty

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 8 and 12 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

1.1 The document D2 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to claim 1 and figures 1, 2 of this document):

A method for rapid provision of desired resources for users in a data network ("a method of accessing a website"), characterised in that

a user (client 102) states a resource query in rich language ("As explained below, the mnemonic is simply any text, audio or video representation of a URL.", paragraph [0017]) in a first line user interface attached to the data network ("entering a mnemonic on an electronic device"), intentionally and in accordance with own desire for intended resource delivery (""...the mnemonic being representative of the web site),

whereafter at least one layer for dynamic communication and handling (mnemonic conversion service 104), implemented at a network context operator, receives, reads and processes said intentional resource query in order to uncover the intention of the user ("converting the menmonic to a URL"), through processing of the resource query in accordance with user specific and query specific information as well as special handling algorithms ("This is accomplished by comparing the mnemonic received from the client 102 to the registered list of mnemonics in the database 120.", page 3, paragraph [0026]),

whereafter said layer (mnemonic conversion service 104) establishes a connection in the data network directly ("using the URL to access the website") between the user and the specific address of the intended resource (target web page 108), on basis of the uncovered intention.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is hence not new.

1.2 The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the

corresponding independent claims 8 and 12, which therefore are also considered not new.

2. Lack of Inventive Step

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 2-7, 9-11, 13-15 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

2.1 Dependent claims 2-7, 9-11, and 13-15 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, see documents D1, D2 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

In said claims slight constructional changes in the method of claim 1 are defined which come within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. Consequently, the subject-matter of claims 2-7, 9-11 and 13-15 also lacks an inventive step.

Comments on the international application

- 3. Lack of Clarity
- 3.1 It is clear from the letter of reply from 10 October 2005 that the following feature is essential to the definition of the invention:
 - (1) a computer server for handling address and resource queries from users

Since independent claims 1 and 8 do not contain this feature thy do not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

- 3.2 The technical terms/expressions network context operator, uncover, address line, states in SMS channel, expresses in WAP channel, makes a transfer to this address, employed in claims 1-15 are not generally accepted in the art, contrary to the requirements of Rule 10.1(e) PCT.
- 3.3 The following statement used in claims 1-15 are vague and unclear and leave the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY InternEXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear, Article 6 PCT: rich language, first line user interface, layer for dynamic communication and handling, intentionally and in accordance with own desire for intended resource delivery

3.4 Claims 1-15 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The following statements attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result:

in order to uncover the intention of the user, through processing of the resource query in accordance with user specific and query specific information as well as special handling algorithms

on basis of the uncovered intention

3.5 In his letter of reply from 10 October 2005 the applicant has argued that the application is novel and inventive over D1 in the following four (unclear) contributions:

rich language,

layer for dynamic communication and handling,

in accordance with user specific and query specific information

a (dynamic) layer establishes a connection in the data network directly between the user and the specific address

This argumentation is respectfully rejected. It is noted that the applicant bases his entire line of argumentation in favour of novelty and/or inventive step on unclear terms and expressions (see 3.1 - 3.4).

However, an unclear term cannot be allowed in a claim if the term is essential having regard to the invention. Equally, an unclear term cannot be used by the applicant to distinguish his invention from the prior art. Because the objected terms are not clear from the claims alone - particurlarly as the objected terms are not common in the relevant art - the applicant is not entitled to the benefit of the doubt.